

Charged Rotating Black Hole Formation from Thin Shell Collapse in Three Dimensions

Rodrigo Olea

Departamento de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile.

Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECS), Casilla 1469, Valdivia, Chile.

Abstract

The thin shell collapse leading to the formation of charged rotating black holes in three dimensions is analyzed in the light of a recently developed Hamiltonian formalism for these systems. It is proposed to demand, as a way to reconcile the properties of an infinitely extended solenoid in flat space with a magnetic black hole in three dimensions, that the magnetic field should vanish just outside the shell. The adoption of this boundary condition results in an exterior solution with a magnetic field different from zero at a finite distance from the shell. The interior solution is also found and assigns another interpretation, in a different context, to the magnetic solution previously obtained by Clément and by Hirschmann and Welch.

1 Introduction

General Relativity, as the geometrical theory describing the gravitational interaction, possesses black hole solutions that are labeled by a small set of parameters. This fact is a consequence of the No-Hair Theorem, that states that the only relevant quantities are the mass, electric charge and angular momentum. This assertion is valid if the matter stress tensor is localized and that the fields are minimally coupled, assumptions that are at any rate quite general. From a physical perspective, this idea is interesting because just a few numbers are enough to completely classify the solutions of the theory, even though they represent radically different geometries.

A question that arises naturally is whether there exists a physical mechanism that generates these solutions dynamically, for instance, through gravitational collapse. One could also wonder if it is possible to reach the whole space of solutions by means of such process, or if there are isolated solutions (fundamental objects like extremal black holes), somehow disconnected from the rest of the configurations. In this sense, the validity of the Cosmic Censorship conjecture can also be tested in the context of gravitational collapse (see, e.g., [1, 2]).

Among different types of gravitational collapse, thin shell collapse is an interesting scenario to prove if naked singularities can be formed or not. In this case, one studies the discontinuities between the inner and outer geometries across a matter shell. The standard treatment for the collapse of thin shells [3] has been successfully applied for more than thirty years to analyze the dynamics of black hole creation and many other related processes. Nevertheless, there may be some instances where this method is hard to carry out in practice, for instance, when we are interested in including rotation in these systems.

As an alternative approach to the Israel treatment of thin shell collapse, a method based on the canonical formalism for gravity [4] has been recently proposed [5]. The direct integration of the Hamiltonian constraints reproduces the standard shell dynamics for radial collapse in a number of known cases. This work has also opened the possibility of studying different rotating thin shell collapse cases in three dimensions in a simpler manner. In this framework, the matching conditions between the internal and external geometries are better understood in Hamiltonian form, as discontinuities in the canonical momenta π^{ij} .

This new Hamiltonian method was applied to obtain the dynamics of a three-dimensional charged shell (the analogue of Reissner-Nordstrom collapse in 4 dimensions [6, 7]) and a rotating shell leading to the formation of a BTZ black hole [8, 9]. The general character of the treatment in [5] also proves the stability of the event horizon, because a black hole cannot be converted into a naked singularity through any thin shell collapse. Based on this method, one is able to conclude that Cosmic Censorship always holds for any thin shell collapse. In particular, this proof generalizes a result previously found for the electrically charged case in 4 dimensions, that prevents the *overcharge* of a Reissner-Nordstrom black hole [10].

The present paper studies the collapse of a charged rotating shell in three spacetime dimensions, that creates a black hole with an external magnetic field, as a further step in the application of this Hamiltonian approach. Contrary to what one might think, this task turns out to be far from straightforward, because it first requires to clarify several points concerning the interior and exterior solutions, in order to select which of them are compatible with the set-up.

Carrying out this procedure implies a nontrivial discussion about the junction conditions for electromagnetism on the thin shell, as well. As we shall see below, motivated by what happens with a solenoid in flat space (in contradiction to the properties of charged rotating black holes in three dimensions) it is proposed to demand that the magnetic field should vanish just outside the shell throughout the collapse. The adoption of this boundary condition results in a magnetic field which does not vanish in the exterior (except as one becomes infinitesimally close to the shell) and helps to reconcile both pictures (without and with gravity).

The exterior solution is the Martínez-Teitelboim-Zanelli (MTZ) black hole, obtained by a rotation *boost* in [11], and can be obtained from a family of solutions originally found in [12] by certain choice of the parameters. This class of exterior solutions possesses a vanishing magnetic field at the horizon. The compatibility with the magnetic boundary condition mentioned above is given by the fact that an external observer will measure a vanishing magnetic field at the event horizon when the shell crosses it. As the shell will appear infinitely red-shifted to this distant observer, this boundary condition will *freeze* at the horizon at the moment of the black hole creation.

On the other hand, the interior solution consistent with a regularity condition at the center (that is also regular in the limit of vanishing magnetic density) is a purely magnetic spacetime (a vacuum solution with a magnetic field, the analogue of Melvin solution in 4 dimensions [15]). This geometry assigns another interpretation, in a different context, to the magnetic solution previously obtained by Clément [12] and by Hirschmann and Welch [17].

The work is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the Hamiltonian form of the Einstein-Maxwell action and obtains the expressions for the reduced action and field equations for rotational symmetry and time independence. As the charged spinning shell divides the spacetime in two regions, it is necessary to discuss suitable solutions for the interior and exterior spacetimes,

compatible with the presence of this source. This is done for the interior solution in Section 3, where we study static magnetic solutions. The analysis selects AdS spacetime with a magnetic field as the only well-defined solution for the region enclosed by the shell. Section 4 briefly review some features of the charged rotating black hole discussed in Ref.[11], in the view to using it as the appropriate solution for the external region. It also justifies our choice of boundary condition for a vanishing magnetic field just outside the shell. The matching conditions for electromagnetism across the shell are shown in Section 5, where the interior magnetic flux is related to the parameters and dynamic variables of the shell. Section 6 uses the method introduced in Ref.[5] to study the collapse of a charged spinning dust shell in three dimensions. Finally, Section 7 summarizes the results presented here.

2 Electrically Charged Rotating Solutions in (2+1) Dimensions

In this section, we will briefly review the canonical formalism for gravity coupled to electromagnetism in three spacetime dimensions.

The Einstein-Maxwell action for $(2 + 1)$ dimensions in presence of negative cosmological constant is written as

$$I = \int d^3x \sqrt{-^{(3)}g} \left[\frac{(R - 2\Lambda)}{2\kappa} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right]. \quad (1)$$

Here, the cosmological constant is $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{l^2}$ in terms of the AdS length. We will adopt the units of Ref.[11], i.e., the gravitational constant $\kappa = 8\pi G$ is set equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

The line element for a timelike ADM foliation [18], in terms of the lapse $N^\perp$ and shift N^i Lagrange multipliers, and the spatial two-dimensional metric g_{ij} is written as

$$ds^2 = -(N^\perp)^2 dt^2 + g_{ij}(N^i dt + dx^i)(N^j dt + dx^j). \quad (2)$$

Then, the spacetime is described by an infinite series of constant-time slices Σ_t generated by the time-like normal vector $n_\mu = (-N^\perp, 0, 0)$.

We take as canonical variables for the gravitational part the metric g_{ij} and its conjugate momenta π^{ij} and, for the electromagnetic sector, the vector potential A_i and its conjugate momentum $\mathcal{E}^i$ [4]. This choice leads to the Hamiltonian form of the action (1)

$$I = \int dt d^2x \left(\pi^{ij} \dot{g}_{ij} + \mathcal{E}^i \dot{A}_i - N^\perp \mathcal{H}_\perp - N^i \mathcal{H}_i - A_0 G \right) \quad (3)$$

where the components of the Hamiltonian and the gauge transformations generator G are given by

$$\mathcal{H}_\perp = \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{g}} \left(\pi^{ij} \pi_{ij} - (\pi^i_i)^2 \right) - \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2\kappa} \left({}^{(2)}R(g) + \frac{1}{l^2} \right) \quad (4)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}} \left(g_{ij} \mathcal{E}^i \mathcal{E}^j + \mathcal{B}^2 \right) + \sqrt{g} T_{\perp\perp}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_i = -2\pi^j_{i|j} + \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{E}^j \mathcal{B} + \sqrt{g} T_{\perp i} \quad (5)$$

$$G = -(\mathcal{E}^i_{,i}). \quad (6)$$

Here we have defined the magnetic density as

$$F_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{B}. \quad (7)$$

For stationary, circularly symmetric solutions of the form

$$ds^2 = -N^2(r)F^2(r)dt^2 + F^{-2}(r)dr^2 + r^2(d\varphi + N^\varphi(r)dt)^2 \quad (8)$$

with $0 \leq r < \infty$ and $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$, there is only one nonvanishing component of the gravitational momentum, that is,

$$\pi_\varphi^r = p(r). \quad (9)$$

In the electromagnetic sector, due to rotational symmetry, the magnetic density becomes

$$\mathcal{B} = \partial_r A_\varphi \quad (10)$$

that is related to the magnetic field referred to an orthonormal basis B by

$$B = g^{-1/2} \mathcal{B} \quad (11)$$

The Gauss law is written as

$$\mathcal{E}^r = Q \quad (12)$$

with Q the total electric charge.

The reduced action, coming from the direct substitution of the metric *Ansatz* (8) and the fields (9)-(12) in the Hamiltonian form of the action (1) can be expressed as

$$I = -2\pi\Delta t \int (N\mathcal{H} + N^\varphi \mathcal{H}_\varphi) dr, \quad (13)$$

after a redefinition of the generator of normal deformations $\mathcal{H} = F\mathcal{H}_\perp$ and due to the fact that the fields depend only on the radial coordinate. Altogether, the angular component of the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi = -2p' - Q\mathcal{B} \quad (14)$$

where the prime denotes a derivative respect the radial coordinate.

The variation of the reduced action (13) with respect the relevant fields, i.e., N , N^φ , F^2 , p and A_φ leads to the set of equations

$$(F^2)' - 2r + \frac{2p^2}{r^3} + \frac{Q^2}{2r} + \frac{F^2\mathcal{B}^2}{2r} = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$2p' + Q\mathcal{B} = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$N' - \frac{N\mathcal{B}^2}{2r} = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$(N^\varphi)' + \frac{2Np}{r^3} = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$\left(\frac{NF^2\mathcal{B}}{r} - N^\varphi Q \right)' = 0. \quad (19)$$

For the problem of gravitational collapse of a thin shell that we shall discuss below, we will simply consider the spacetime divided in an interior and exterior regions. Thus, the above equations hold at either side of the shell with all the variables carrying the respective subscript.

We also include in the r.h.s. of Eqs.(4,5) a matter energy-momentum tensor for the shell, as a source for the discontinuities of the spacetime geometry across it. In this way, one is able to study the dynamic evolution of the thin shell in Hamiltonian form. In Ref. [5], this procedure was carried out for a perfect fluid, with a stress tensor that is not derived from the action (1)

$$T_{\mu\nu} = [\sigma u_\mu u_\nu - \tau(h_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu)] \delta(X) \quad (20)$$

where u^μ is the shell velocity, σ the energy density and τ the tension. The delta function gives a matter distribution localized at the boundary of the hypersurface defined by the shell evolution. In particular, in three-dimensional spacetimes one is able to obtain the equations governing the collapse of a rotating thin shell.

Finally, we know that in general the Hamiltonian action principle for gravity is not well-defined without boundary terms. In the context of thin shell collapse we will assume that the action is supplemented by the appropriate boundary terms such that the action is stationary *on-shell* [4], and that permits the computation of the correct conserved charges in the asymptotic region. Thus, the mass, angular momentum and electric charge of the external solution come out naturally as quantities measured at spatial infinity. In turn, the same parameters for the internal solution, and the relation to the exterior charges, will be identified only when the shell dynamics is solved.

3 Static Magnetic Solutions in 3 Dimensions

For simplicity, one might set the internal solution for the collapse process as a static BTZ black hole. However, if the surrounding shell is rotating, it generates an internal magnetic flux whose equivalent gravitational energy regularizes the inner space, erasing the formerly present event horizon. The geometry of this spacetime was first found by Clément in [12] and its properties were studied in extenso by Hirschmann and Welch in [17]. In the latter reference, the authors carry out a detailed analysis to make manifest the absence of black holes for any value of the magnetic density and mass M . Here, we briefly review this discussion, to finally conclude that for $M > 0$, the solution is in general ill-defined in the limit of a nonrotating shell.

The line element for this solution takes the explicit form¹

$$ds^2 = -(\rho^2 - M) dt^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 - M)} \frac{d\rho^2}{\left(\rho^2 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4} \ln |\rho^2 - M|\right)} + \left(\rho^2 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4} \ln |\rho^2 - M|\right) d\phi^2 \quad (21)$$

where M is the original BTZ black hole mass and Θ is an integration constant (that is interpreted as a magnetic charge in [17].). From the Eq.(11), we obtain the form of the inner magnetic field as

$$B_-(\rho) = \frac{\Theta}{\sqrt{\rho^2 - M}}. \quad (22)$$

In the case of gravitational collapse we are interested in, Θ represents the magnetic flux generated by the shell and it will depend on the shell parameters and dynamic variables. As the external magnetic field is given (we assume the outer mass, angular momentum and charged as known) the internal magnetic field is determined using junction conditions for electromagnetism across the shell.

The spacetime regularization mentioned above remains valid even for a however small amount of magnetic flux, *i.e.*, the slightest addition of angular momentum to the shell wipes the horizon out.

This can be understood by changing the form of the metric with the use of a new coordinate $x^2 = \rho^2 - \bar{\rho}^2$, that covers completely the spacetime. The radius $\bar{\rho}$ is given by the position where $g_{\phi\phi}$ vanishes, *i.e.*, the one that solves the transcendental equation

$$\bar{\rho}^2 - M = e^{-4\bar{\rho}^2/\Theta^2}. \quad (23)$$

With this coordinate choice and the definition $\delta^2 = \bar{\rho}^2 - M$, the metric near $x = 0$ is seen as a conical spacetime

$$ds^2 = -(x^2 + \delta^2) dt^2 + \frac{dx^2}{(x^2 + \delta^2) \left(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4\delta^2}\right)} + x^2 \left(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4\delta^2}\right) d\phi^2. \quad (24)$$

¹Henceforth, we set the AdS radius equal to unity.

In order to avoid a conical singularity, the angular coordinate needs to be identified in a period

$$T_\phi = 2\pi \frac{e^{2\bar{\rho}^2/\Theta^2}}{1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4} e^{4\bar{\rho}^2/\Theta^2}}. \quad (25)$$

Nevertheless, we have to keep in mind that we are considering this solution in the context of a rotating shell collapse and the above picture must be consistent also when the shell is falling radially. In spite of the form of the line element (21), for any solution with $M > 0$ the limit $\Theta \rightarrow 0$ does not recover the spinless BTZ metric [8, 9] because the angular period (25) approaches zero. On the contrary, for this $M < 0$ the value of $\bar{\rho}$ from Eq.(23) can be expanded as

$$\bar{\rho}^2 = -\frac{\Theta^2}{4} \ln(-M) + O(\Theta^4) \quad (26)$$

that has a regular limit when Θ goes to zero, and the period (25) goes to a constant. Therefore, demanding that the solution is compatible with the nonrotating case makes us consider only solutions with $M < 0$. This is also in agreement with the work by Dias and Lemos [13], where they have proved that the mass of the three-dimensional static magnetic solution is necessarily negative.

However, it has been pointed out in [14] that the mass of the magnetic solution can always be rescaled to $M = -1$ using a set of appropriate transformations and that this spacetime is actually a one-parameter solution.

The above argument implies that the magnetic AdS spacetime is the only internal solution with physical relevance for this set-up of thin shell collapse. This solution may be considered the analogue of Melvin spacetime [15] for 3 dimensions, as it represents a vacuum configuration with a magnetic field filling the space up. This process of regularization of the magnetic solution in three dimensions had been originally found in [12] for some particular values of the parameters.

Thus, the previous reasoning assigns another interpretation to the solution of Clément-Hirschmann-Welch, where now the parameter Θ is understood as a magnetic flux generated by a surrounding solenoid.

For $M = -1$, the radius $\bar{\rho}$ takes the value $\bar{\rho} = 0$. Therefore, a simple redefinition in the angle $\varphi = \left(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4}\right) \phi$ permits us to absorb the angular deficit in the metric (24) in the limit $x \rightarrow 0$, avoiding the presence of a conical singularity. The line element for this solution takes the form

$$ds^2 = -(\rho^2 + 1) dt^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + 1)} \frac{d\rho^2}{\left(\rho^2 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4} \ln(\rho^2 + 1)\right)} + \frac{\left(\rho^2 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4} \ln(\rho^2 + 1)\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4}\right)^2} d\varphi^2. \quad (27)$$

In sum, the magnetic solution (27) derived from the discussion in this section is determined by the conditions of circular symmetry, the regularity at the center and the regularity of the nonrotating shell limit. This last criterion could be of particular relevance in a system that incorporates dissipative forces that could eventually bring the rotation to a halt.

The analysis of the discontinuities of this geometry with the exterior solution (MTZ black hole) will consider two classes of junction conditions. The first class deals with the jump in the

metric tensor and extrinsic curvature across the thin shell, as an application of a recent work that treats rotating shell collapse in three dimensions in Hamiltonian form [5].

Expressing the metric (27) in the form of Eq.(8) we study the matching conditions for the functions $N(r)$ and $N^\varphi(r)$ across the shell

$$ds^2 = -N_-^2(r)F_-^2(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{F_-^2(r)} + r^2d\varphi^2. \quad (28)$$

In terms of the new radial coordinate r

$$r^2 = \frac{(\rho^2 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4} \ln(\rho^2 + 1))}{(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4})^2}, \quad (29)$$

the functions N_- and F_- in the metric are given by

$$N_-^2 = \frac{(\rho^2 + 1)^2 \left(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4}\right)^2}{\left[\rho^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4}\right)\right]^2} \quad (30)$$

and

$$F_-^2 = \frac{\left[\rho^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4}\right)\right]^2}{(\rho^2 + 1) \left(1 + \frac{\Theta^2}{4}\right)^2}. \quad (31)$$

In the same way as we discussed in Ref.[5], we can always reparametrize the time such that $N_-(r = R) = 1$, because N_- is defined up to a constant. With this choice we ensure the continuity of $N(r)$ across the thin shell, and we measure the jump in $F^2(r)$. Then, the internal magnetic field (22) takes the particular form on the shell position

$$B_-(R) = \frac{\Theta}{F_-(R)}. \quad (32)$$

We also demand the angular shift $N^\varphi(r)$ to be continuous at $r = R$. As the interior spacetime is static, a consistent choice for the shift in the exterior region is the one that satisfies $N_+^\varphi(r = R) = 0$ at all times. This corresponds to a reparametrization in the angular variable, that differs from the standard value $N^\varphi(r = \infty) = 0$ that leaves the infinity nonrotating. A similar choice has been considered in [16] to compute the conserved quantities of Kerr-AdS black holes referred to a frame rotating at infinity.

The second class of junction conditions considers the discontinuities in the Maxwell field strength. As we will discuss in the next section, the compatibility of the magnetic properties between the static interior and rotating exterior solutions will motivate the introduction of an additional boundary condition for the magnetic field.

4 External Solution

At first sight, one might be puzzled because of the different qualitative behavior of a magnetic field in flat and curved spacetimes.

Let us consider an infinitely extended charged cylinder in four dimensions. In flat space, if the cylinder is rotating, it generates an internal magnetic field. This magnetic field vanishes just outside this solenoid, and keeps that value throughout the exterior region. This property is not modified if the cylinder is also collapsing as it rotates: the inner magnetic field just changes with time as the radius decreases.

Contrary to the above situation, when electromagnetism is coupled to gravity in 3 dimensions, a charged rotating black hole solution possesses an external magnetic field $B_+(r)$. For a black hole exterior metric of the form (8), the magnetic field (11) is

$$B_+ = \mathcal{B} \frac{F_+(r)}{r} \quad (33)$$

and therefore, B_+ in general will be different from zero everywhere but at the event horizon [11].

Roughly speaking, that means that turning on the gravitational field in a flat space with a charged rotating ring modifies the external magnetic field such that is not longer zero outside.

As a way to reconcile both pictures, we demand the magnetic field to vanish just outside the rotating shell during the entire collapse. This choice is compatible with a nonvanishing B_+ at a finite distance from the shell. As this boundary condition will hold throughout the collapse, in particular when this ring crosses its own event horizon r_+ , the process will finally leave as a remnant a magnetic field which does not vanish in the exterior region, only at $r = r_+$. A similar choice of a boundary condition occurs in the rotating case studied in Ref.[5], where the choice of the angular shift as $N_+^\varphi(r = R) = 0$ produces an exterior solution with angular momentum different from zero.

In the present case, the result is an exterior black hole, which features a magnetic field that satisfies this boundary condition. This solution is precisely the one obtained from a charged ($\tilde{M} \neq 0$, $\tilde{Q} \neq 0$) static solution

$$f^2(\tilde{r}) = \tilde{r}^2 - \tilde{M} - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{Q}^2 \ln \tilde{r}^2 \quad (34)$$

by a Lorentz boost in the $t - \varphi$ plane, an illegitimate coordinate transformation that changes the physical parameters [11]. This transformation is characterized by a boost parameter ω , that corresponds to an angular velocity. A similar procedure had been used previously to obtain the rotating BTZ black hole metric from the static one [19].

By means of a redefinition in the radial variable

$$r^2 = \tilde{r}^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{(1 - \omega^2)} \left(\tilde{M} + \frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{4} \ln \tilde{r}^2 \right) \quad (35)$$

we obtain the expressions for the functions in the metric

$$F_+^2 = \frac{\left(\tilde{r}^2 + \frac{\omega^2 \tilde{Q}^2}{4(1-\omega^2)}\right)^2}{r^2 \tilde{r}^2} \left(\tilde{r}^2 - \tilde{M} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{Q}^2 \ln r^2\right) \quad (36)$$

$$N_+ = \frac{\tilde{r}^2}{\tilde{r}^2 + \frac{\omega^2 \tilde{Q}^2}{4(1-\omega^2)}} \quad (37)$$

$$N_+^\varphi = -\omega^2 \frac{\tilde{M} + \frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{4} \ln \tilde{r}^2}{(1-\omega^2) r^2} \quad (38)$$

and for the magnetic density

$$\mathcal{B}_+ = \frac{\tilde{Q} \omega r}{\sqrt{1-\omega^2} \left(\tilde{r}^2 + \frac{\omega^2 \tilde{Q}^2}{4(1-\omega^2)}\right)}. \quad (39)$$

From the asymptotic form of the above equations, one is able to identify the mass, angular momentum and electric charge of the solution generated by the boost

$$M = \frac{1}{1-\omega^2} \left(\tilde{M} (1+\omega^2) - \frac{\omega^2 \tilde{Q}^2}{2} \right), \quad (40)$$

$$J = \frac{2\omega}{1-\omega^2} \left(\tilde{M} - \frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{4} \right), \quad (41)$$

$$Q = \frac{\tilde{Q}}{\sqrt{1-\omega^2}}, \quad (42)$$

where the parameter ω is the root of the cubic equation

$$\frac{Q^2}{4} \omega^3 - \frac{J \omega^2}{2} + \omega \left(M - \frac{Q^2}{4} \right) - \frac{J}{2} = 0. \quad (43)$$

5 Junction Conditions for Electromagnetism

In this section we will analyze the junction conditions for electromagnetism across the thin shell. In order to do that, we will consider a decomposition of the Maxwell equations along the normal and tangent directions to the shell to study the discontinuity in the electromagnetic field strength.

The spacetime is divided in two regions, described by the sets $\{x_\pm^\mu = t_\pm, r_\pm, \varphi\}$ in Schwarzschild-like coordinates. The hypersurface Σ_ξ (normal to a unit vector ξ^μ) is generated by the collapsing shell is the boundary and separates both spaces, where we define the induced metric h_{ab} , the intrinsic coordinates set $\{\sigma^a, a = 0, 2\}$ and the projector between these two bases $e_a^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^a}$.

In the Ref.[5] it was shown that a convenient way to study the discontinuities in the metric in the rotating case is adapting the intrinsic geometry to a frame falling radially (nonrotating) with the shell. An observer in this frame measures a proper time λ , that differs from the time coordinate τ of an observer rotating with the shell

$$d\lambda = \gamma d\tau \quad (44)$$

where γ corresponds to the relativistic factor associated to the angular velocity $\Omega = d\varphi/d\lambda$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - R^2\Omega^2}}. \quad (45)$$

The commoving observer sees an intrinsic geometry given by the shell line element

$$ds^2 = h_{ab}d\sigma^a d\sigma^b = -d\lambda^2 + R^2(\lambda)d\varphi^2 \quad (46)$$

and the three velocity in this frame takes the explicit form

$$u^\mu = \gamma \left(\frac{\alpha}{F^2}, \dot{R}, \Omega \right) \quad (47)$$

where the dot denotes a derivative respect the time λ , the function α is defined as

$$\alpha = \sqrt{F^2 + \dot{R}^2} \quad (48)$$

So, this description considers a nonrotating orthonormal system $\{\lambda, X\}$, where λ is the tangential coordinate that runs along the nonrotating components of u^μ and X goes along the normal ξ^μ . Therefore, any displacement in the plane $\{t, r\}$ of Schwarzschild-like coordinates can be equivalently expressed as

$$dt = u^t d\lambda + \xi^t dX \quad (49)$$

$$dr = u^r d\lambda + \xi^r dX \quad (50)$$

expressions that will be useful to project the components of the strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ on Σ_ξ .

For the components along this hypersurface, the discontinuity can be expressed as

$$[F_{ab}] = F_{ab}|_+ - F_{ab}|_- = 0 \quad (51)$$

and for the remaining ones

$$[F_{a\xi}] = -j_a \quad (52)$$

where we have defined the projections $F_{ab} = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{a\xi} = e_a^\mu \xi^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$, respectively. The r.h.s. of eq.(52) represents the electric current j_a generated by the shell rotation.

The current can be written in terms of the three-velocity

$$j_a = \eta e_{a\mu} u^\mu \quad (53)$$

where the constant η is the charge density of the ring, such that

$$q = 2\pi R\eta\gamma \quad (54)$$

is the total charge in the commoving frame.

Substituting the above formulas in the only nonvanishing component of (51), produces the expression

$$[F_{02}] = 0 = \left[\frac{\alpha}{F^2} F_{t\varphi} \right] + \left[\dot{R} F_{r\varphi} \right]. \quad (55)$$

The jump in the magnetic field (11) across the shell is related to the induced φ -component of the electric field

$$\left[\dot{R} \frac{\mathcal{B}F}{R} \right] = - \left[\frac{\alpha}{F^2} F_{t\varphi} \right] \quad (56)$$

that, in principle, it is not necessarily zero in three dimensions. In fact, Maxwell equations tell us that the azimuthal electric field can be different from zero just outside the shell (wherever the magnetic field vanishes [20])

On the other hand, the $a = 0$ component of eq.(52) leads to

$$[F_{tr}] = \frac{q}{2\pi R}. \quad (57)$$

Due to the absence of electric charge in the inner space, the last equation is just the Gauss law for the exterior electric field

$$\mathcal{E}_+^r = \frac{q}{2\pi}. \quad (58)$$

The other relevant equation corresponds to the angular component of (52)

$$[F_{2\xi}] = - \left[\frac{\dot{R}}{F^2} F_{t\varphi} \right] - \left[\alpha \frac{\mathcal{B}F}{R} \right] = -R^2 \eta \Omega \gamma \quad (59)$$

that, using (56), can be write finally as

$$\left[\frac{F^2}{\alpha} B \right] = \frac{\Omega q R}{2\pi}. \quad (60)$$

The above formula can be regarded as the analogue of Ampere's law in $(2+1)$ dimensions. Because the magnetic field is a scalar, we can imagine it pointing along the time direction. Tracing an infinitesimal 'rectangular' contour $\mathcal{C}$ that crosses twice –forth and back– the hypersurface Σ , the bottom and top lines (contained in constant-time slices at $t = t_0$ and $t = t_0 + dt$) do not contribute to the integral of the magnetic field along the path. The integration along the time gives the magnetic field B multiplied by $dt^\perp = dt N^\perp n$, the normal timelike distance that separates the slices (see, e.g., [4]), with the corresponding subscript at each side of the hypersurface Σ_ξ

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}} B \cdot dt = \left(N_+^\perp \right)^2 B_+ dt_+ - \left(N_-^\perp \right)^2 B_- dt_- = I \quad (61)$$

where I is the total current enclosed by the contour $\mathcal{C}$.

An observer falling radially with the shell measures distances $\{\lambda, X\}$ along the unit velocity u^μ and normal ξ^μ , that are related to the coordinates $\{t, r\}$ of an external observer by Eqs.(49) and (50). Therefore, an infinitesimal time displacement dt at constant r ($dr = 0$) is expressed as $dt = \frac{d\lambda}{\alpha}$. Recalling that $N^\perp = NF$ then, infinitesimally close to the shell $N = 1$ and therefore

$$\left(\frac{F_+^2}{\alpha_+} B_+ - \frac{F_-^2}{\alpha_-} B_- \right) d\lambda = I \quad (62)$$

The total current in the l.h.s. of Eqs.(61,62) is given by the product between the azimuthal component of the current density (53) and the proper time distance $d\lambda$ measured along Σ_ξ ,

$$I = \gamma R^2 \eta \Omega d\lambda \quad (63)$$

that exactly reproduces the result of Eq.(60).

Finally, the boundary condition introduced above requires the external magnetic field to vanish just outside the shell, and therefore

$$\frac{F_-^2}{\alpha_-} B_- = -\frac{\Omega q R}{2\pi}. \quad (64)$$

6 Collapse Dynamics for a Dust Thin Shell

In a recent paper, a Hamiltonian approach to treat the gravitational collapse of rotating thin shells in three dimensions has been introduced [5].

This procedure is probably equivalent to the Israel junction conditions for rotating shells. However, what remains unclear in the Israel Lagrangian method is how to define appropriate rotating frames for the inner and outer spaces and for the shell itself to match the different geometries.

In the Hamiltonian framework, the continuity at the shell position $r = R$ of the (redefined) lapse function N and the angular shift N^φ in Eq.(8) allows us to compute the in the canonical momenta π^{ij} , i.e., the metric $F(r)$ and angular momentum $p(r)$.

In order to do that, one integrates the Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H}_\perp$ across the shell in a constant-time slice Σ_t . By means of suitable projections between a timelike (ADM) foliation and the commoving frame $\{\lambda, X\}$ on the hypersurface Σ_ξ generated by the shell, one is able to obtain a relation between the radial velocity and the difference in the function of the metric ΔF^2 . For the matter stress tensor $T_{\perp\perp}$ in Eq.(4) we take a perfect fluid (20) with an energy density σ and a shell tension τ . Then, the equation of motion for the shell is given by

$$-\Delta F^2 = \pi R(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-) \left\{ \gamma^2 \sigma - \tau(\gamma^2 - 1) \right\} \quad (65)$$

with α defined in Eq.(48).

The integration of the Hamiltonian constraint (evaluated in a minisuperspace model) across the shell is performed first for a finite thickness ε . In this procedure, the l.h.s. of Eq.(65) comes

as the only nonvanishing term after the thin shell limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ is taken, because all the rest have finite discontinuities across the shell. The same argument can be applied to the electromagnetic part of the stress tensor, that does not contribute to the integration of $T_{\perp\perp}$ and therefore, the above equation remains the same when the shell also carries electric charge.

In addition, the key point to integrate the angular component of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ is the adoption of the matching condition $N^\varphi(R) = 0$. In simple terms, this condition means that the angular shift is chosen as zero over the shell at any time, being the only choice that permits a commoving observer (rotating and falling with the shell) to have continuity in the angular displacement across the shell. Performing this integration leads the difference in angular momentum between the interior and exterior regions

$$-2\Delta p = \Delta J = 2\pi\gamma^2 R^3 \Omega(\sigma - \tau). \quad (66)$$

As in the radial collapse case, it is useful to express Eq. (65) in the form

$$\alpha_+ - \alpha_- = -\pi R \left\{ \gamma^2 \sigma - \tau(\gamma^2 - 1) \right\} \quad (67)$$

that has been obtained from multiplying (65) by $\alpha_+ - \alpha_-$. This relation can be better understood in the nonrotating limit ($\gamma = 1$), where it matches the standard Israel formula for radial collapse dynamics that comes from the discontinuity of the radial acceleration across the shell.

The set of equations (67) and (66) is not enough to completely determine the dynamic evolution of the shell, as we required an equation of state for the shell matter.

For simplicity, we are going to illustrate the method here with the collapse of a thin shell made of coherent dust. Thus, we take the tension $\tau = 0$ in the Eqs. (67) and (66)

$$\alpha_+ - \alpha_- = \sqrt{F_+^2(R) + \dot{R}^2} - \sqrt{F_-^2(R) + \dot{R}^2} = -\frac{m\gamma}{2} \quad (68)$$

$$J = m\gamma\Omega R^2 \quad (69)$$

where $m = 2\pi\gamma R\sigma$ is a conserved quantity in the commoving frame (rest-frame mass) and the momentum $p_+ = -J/2$ and the factor γ takes the explicit form

$$\gamma(R) = \left(1 + \frac{J^2}{m^2 R^2} \right)^{-1/2}. \quad (70)$$

In most of cases of thin shell collapse it is convenient to get $\dot{R}^2(\lambda)$ from the expressions (68) and (69) by quadrature, in order to carry out an *effective potential* analysis and to conclude in which regions of the space the shell motion is possible. In this picture, it is clear that the shell parameters m and q and the set of initial conditions $(\dot{R}_0, R_0, \Omega_0)$ should completely determine the parameters M , J and Q of the outer black hole and the further shell collapse.

However, for the present set-up, both functions F_- and F_+ —given by Eqs. (31) and (36), respectively— are defined only implicitly in terms of different radial coordinates ρ and $\tilde{r}$. This makes difficult to obtain an analytical formula for the velocity because it requires to invert the formulas (29) and (35) to get the relations $\rho(r)$ and $\tilde{r}(r)$.

For a simple choice of the initial conditions, we may relate some of the above parameters. For instance, if the shell is released with radial velocity $\dot{R}_0$ equal to zero, it is possible to express the constant Θ present in the magnetic field in terms of the initial position R_0 and angular velocity Ω_0 where, combining Eqs. (64) and (32)

$$\Theta = \frac{q\Omega_0 R_0}{2\pi} \quad (71)$$

and the angular momentum J of the outer solution is given by Eq(66) as

$$J = \frac{R_0^2 \Omega_0}{\sqrt{1 - R_0^2 \Omega_0^2}} m. \quad (72)$$

Nevertheless, the mass M of the outer solution is difficult to obtain from direct substitution of the initial conditions in Eq.(68). It is true that, in principle, we can get an expression for $M(\tilde{M}, \tilde{Q}, \omega)$ from the set of Eqs.(40-42), but the boost parameter ω also depends on the exterior parameters through Eq.(43).

As an alternative to the effective potential method, we can differentiate Eq. (68) respect the time coordinate λ . Thus, we find that the radial acceleration satisfies

$$m\gamma \frac{d^2 R}{d\lambda^2} = \alpha_+ (F_-^2)' - \alpha_- (F_+^2)' - m\alpha_+ \alpha_- \frac{d\gamma}{dR} \quad (73)$$

where the prime denotes the radial derivative.

Infinitesimally close to the shell position $r = R(\lambda)$, at either side of it, the set of equations (15)-(19) are still valid for the corresponding region. In particular, Eq.(15) relates the derivative of the function F^2 in the metric with the remaining fields and parameters

$$(F_-^2)' = 2R - \frac{B_-^2 R}{2} \quad (74)$$

$$(F_+^2)' = 2R - \frac{J^2}{2R^3} - \frac{q^2}{2R} \quad (75)$$

where the outer total charge is the one carried by the shell $Q_+ = q$, and the magnetic field vanishes just outside the shell. After some rearrangements, the acceleration can be written as

$$m\gamma \frac{d^2 R}{d\lambda^2} = -m\gamma R - \alpha_+ \frac{B_-^2 R}{2} + \alpha_- \frac{q^2}{2R} + \alpha_-^2 \frac{J^2}{m\gamma R^3} \quad (76)$$

using the formula (68) in a convenient manner.

What we finally obtain is a nonlinear differential equation (76) that governs the shell collapse. Even though there is no an analytical expression for R as a function of time, the equation should provide the shell dynamics and can be analyzed numerically for different values of M , J and Q of the external black hole. This is out of the scope of this paper, where we have focused on analytical aspects of this gravitational collapse process. We hope to report this issue elsewhere.

7 Conclusions

In this paper we have developed an application of a Hamiltonian treatment for the collapse of rotating thin shells in three dimensions. MTZ black hole solutions are obtained as the result of the collapse of a charged spinning ring.

As the shell crosses its own event horizon, it leaves behind a vanishing magnetic field at that position, a desirable feature when we are interested in the creation of charged rotating black holes in the outer region.

We have dealt so far with only one possible mechanism to create an external MTZ black hole. However, we can also consider other processes, for instance, the consecutive collapse of two shells: one carrying the electric charge and another the angular momentum (or viceversa) and see the physical implications. Generating a charged rotating solution in this form may have the advantage of a better understanding of the internal structure of the black hole. For instance, we could study the stability of the Cauchy horizon to the addition of another *hair* (Q or J) [21, 22], working in Kruskal-like coordinates, what cannot be done in the present paper where the formalism is valid only until $r = r_+$ (Schwarzschild-like coordinates).

Finally, one might naturally wonder whether it is possible to generate this collapse process by the action of the rotational boost discussed in Refs.[11, 19], starting from the radial, electrically charged collapse. The answer to this question is negative because a boost acting on the interior and exterior patches with the same parameter ω cannot produce arbitrary solutions parameters. In particular, this procedure does not reproduce the case presented in this paper, because it necessarily induces angular momentum in the interior zone. At best, even if one could redefine the radial coordinate in the interior and exterior regions to obtain rotating solutions, the intrinsic metric of the shell under the action of the boost does not preserve its form and thus, it is not clear how to impose the matching conditions on the shell position.

8 Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Juan Crisóstomo and Claudio Teitelboim for enlightening comments and helpful discussions. I also thank Máximo Bañados and Jorge Zanelli for useful conversations. Institutional support to Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECS) from Empresas CMPC is acknowledged. CECS is a Millennium Science Institute and is funded in part by grants from Fundación Andes and the Tinker Foundation. This work was partially funded by the grant 3030029 from FONDECYT.

References

- [1] T. P. Singh, *Gravitational Collapse, Black Holes and Naked Singularities*. e-Print Archive: gr-qc/9805066.
- [2] T. Harada, *Gravitational Collapse and Naked Singularities*. e-Print Archive: gr-qc/0407109.
- [3] W. Israel, *Nuovo Cimento* **B 44**, (1966) 1; *ibid.* **B48**, (1967) 463(E).
- [4] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, *Ann. Phys.* **88**, (1974) 286.

- [5] J. Crisóstomo and R. Olea, Phys. Rev. **D69** (2004) 104023. e-Print Archive: hep-th/0311054.
- [6] K.V. Kuchař, Czech. J. Phys. **B18** (1968) 435.
- [7] D. G. Boulware, Phys. Rev. **D8** (1973) 2363.
- [8] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849. e-Print Archive: hep-th/9204099
- [9] M. Bañados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1506. e-Print Archive: gr-qc/9302012
- [10] V.E. Hubeny, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 064013. e-Print Archive: gr-qc/9808043.
- [11] C. Martínez, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 104013. e-Print Archive: hep-th/9912259.
- [12] G. Clément, Class. and Quant. Grav. **10** (1993) L49.
- [13] O.J.C. Dias and J.P.S. Lemos, JHEP **0201** (2002) 006. e-Print Archive: hep-th/0201058.
- [14] M. Cataldo, J. Crisostomo, S. del Campo and P. Salgado, Phys. Lett. **B584** (2004) 123. e-Print Archive: hep-th/0401189.
- [15] M.A. Melvin, Phys. Lett. **8** (1964) 65.
- [16] S.W. Hawking, C.J. Hunter and M.M. Taylor-Robinson, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 064005. e-Print Archive: hep-th/9811056.
- [17] E. W. Hirschmann and D. L. Welch, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 5579. e-Print Archive: hep-th/9510181.
- [18] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, Phys. Rev. **117** (1960) 1595; in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research*, ed. by L. Witten (Wiley, NewYork,1962).
- [19] G. Clément, Phys. Lett. **B367** (1996) 70. e-Print Archive: gr-qc/9510025
- [20] M. Cataldo, Phys. Lett. **B529** (2002) 143. e-Print Archive: gr-qc/0201047
- [21] A. Ori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, (1991) 789.
- [22] V. Husain, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 2361. e-Print Archive: gr-qc/9404047.